namic

Total Synthesis of Prostaglandin 15d-PGJ $_2$ and Investigation of its Effect on the Secretion of IL‑6 and IL-12

Julian Egger, $^\ddag$ Stefan Fischer, $^\ddag$ Peter Bretscher, † Stefan Freigang, † Manfred Kopf, *,† and Erick M. Carreira $^{*,\ddag}$

‡ Laboratory of Organic Ch[em](#page-2-0)istry, ETH Zurich, HCI H335, Wolfgang-Pauli-Strasse 10, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland † Institute for Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich, HPL-G 12, Schafmattstrasse 27, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

S Supporting Information

[ABSTRACT:](#page-2-0) An efficient synthesis of 15-deoxy-Δ12,14 prostaglandin J_2 (15d-PGJ₂, 1) is reported. The route described allows for diversification of the parent structure to prepare seven analogues of 1 in which the positioning of electrophilic sites is varied. These analogues were tested in SAR studies for their ability to reduce the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines. It was shown that the endocyclic enone is crucial for the bioactivity investigated and that the conjugated ω -side chain serves in a reinforcing manner.

15-Deoxy- Δ 12,14-prostaglandin J₂ (15d-PGJ₂, 1) is a recently described prostanoid eicosanoid receiving considerable attention as a consequence of its extraordinary biological activity in the modulation of inflammatory and apoptotic processes.¹ While the majority of the other prostaglandins act in a proinflammatory fa[s](#page-2-0)hion, $15d$ -PGJ₂ (1) was recognized for its contrary effects and is therefore referred to as "the antiinflammatory prostaglandin". 1a Cyclopentenone prostaglandins such as $15d-PGJ₂(1)$ differ from the other prostaglandins not only in their biological m[od](#page-2-0)e of action, but also in their structural properties.² Prostaglandin 1, for instance, contains a cross-conjugated trienone and therefore has become an attractive synthetic [ta](#page-2-0)rget that has been the subject of four total syntheses prior to this work.³ Recently, we have established an efficient synthetic route to the structurally similar cyclopenteno[ne](#page-2-0) epoxyisoprostanes EC (2) and EI (3) and have investigated their role in inhibiting secretion of proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-12.⁴ Compounds 2 and 3 differ from $15d$ -PGJ₂ (1) by the presence of an allylic epoxide and the disposition of the carboxylic acid [\(](#page-2-0)Figure 1). Herein,

we implement a modification of our approach to EC (2) toward the synthesis of 1, enabling the preparation of a collection of seven enone analogues (Figure 2). Biological testing of the analogue structures disclosed that for $15d-PGJ₂$ (1) the endocyclic enone is of critical importance. Furthermore, we could show that the 1,6-dienone in the ω -side chain acts

Figure 2. 15d-PGJ₂ (1) and analogues 22-28 with varying doublebond pattern. The 1,6-dienone in 1 and 1,4-enone in 26 are highlighted in red.

deactivating compared to the analogue 1,4-enone that was identified as the more potent compound (Figure 2, 1 vs 26). The two distinct enone systems are highlighted in red in compounds 1 and 26 in Figure 2.

In previous work, we have shown that the potency of the epoxyisoprostanes 2 and 3 is higher than that of $15d-PGJ₂(1)$ and that the lactone resulting from epoxide opening by the carboxylic acid in EC (2) affords a highly potent antiinflammatory agent.⁵ Furthermore, we demonstrated that the endocyclic enone (indicated by \implies in Figure 1) is crucial for bioac[t](#page-2-0)ivity and that 3 is most probably a precursor of 2.5 Prostaglandin 1 also incorporates an endocyclic enone but shows much lower potency. The difference in the positioning [of](#page-2-0) the carboxylic acid in 1 versus 2 and 3 suggests that the inability to form a γ-lactone as observed for the latter two could be

Received: July 28, 2015 Published: August 24, 2015 responsible for its distinct activity. Additionally, we wondered whether the variations in biological activity could be derived from differences in the nature of the electrophilic sites (indicated by \longrightarrow in Figure 1). To test this hypothesis, we synthesized $15d-PGJ₂$ (1) and its analogues varying in the positioning of the do[uble bond](#page-0-0).

The synthetic endeavors commenced with preparation of a precursor enabling installation of the α -chain, namely aldehyde 6. It was prepared employing a four-step synthetic sequence, as shown in Scheme 1. 1,5-Pentanediol 4 was protected as the

Scheme 1. Synthesis of the α -Chain Aldehyde 6

corresponding PMB-ether and the remaining alcohol subjected to oxidation employing Swern conditions to yield aldehyde 5. Wittig olefination of the aldehyde with the phosphonium salt generated from ethyl 4-bromobutanoate and $PPh₃$ exlusively gave a (Z) -olefin. Selective reduction of the ethyl ester to aldehyde 6 was accomplished using 1 equiv of DIBAL-H in toluene at −78 °C to furnish 6.

Treatment of 6 with ketene as described by Nelson afforded 7 in 87% yield and 94% ee (Scheme 2). β -Lactone 7 was

subjected to ring opening to give β -keto- δ -hydroxy ester 8, which after diazotization and protection yielded 9. Cyclization of 9 through a C−H insertion reaction $(Rh_2(\text{esp})_2)$ furnished cyclopentanone 10 in 62% yield and high diastereoselectivity $(10:1)$ as determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Heating of 10 in the presence of LiOH in THF/water at reflux led to an α , β enone. Subsequent decarboxylation under Krapcho conditions afforded cyclopentenone 11, an intermediate of a previous synthesis of 15d-PGJ₂ (1) by Kobayashi and Acharya.^{3c,d} The ω -side chain was installed through the implementation of a stepwise aldol condensation reaction with aldehyd[e](#page-2-0) [1](#page-2-0)2 to obtain PMB ether 13. Deprotection of the PMB ether (13 \rightarrow 14) and stepwise oxidation of the alcohol to the acid furnished 15d-PGJ₂ (1). To assess the nature of the electrophilic sites in 1 crucial for inhibition of proinflammatory IL-6 and IL-12 secretion, we synthesized all seven possible electrophilic site analogues 22−28 (Figure 2).

The synthesis of analogues 22−28 commenced with cyclopentenone 11. The ω -side chain was installed either by aldol condensation [or](#page-0-0) [alkyl](#page-0-0)ation reactions, depending on the requisite double-bond pattern in the targeted molecule (Scheme 3). Trienone 13 was prepared as described before

Scheme 3. Divergent Synthesis of the Precursors 13, and 31−36 from 11

for the synthesis of $15d$ -PGJ₂ (1, Scheme 2). Dienone 31 was accessible by an aldol condensation reaction employing octanal (30) as reaction partner. In contrast to the preparation of 13, the elimination step required the addition of neutral Al_2O_3 . In the presence of HMPA as a cosolvent, alkylation of enolized cyclopentenone 11 with allylic iodide 29 could be achieved.⁷ Enones 13, 31, and 32 not only served as precursors for 1 and the analogues 26 and 27 (Figure 2), but were also furth[er](#page-3-0) elaborated into precursors 33−36 by treatment with Stryker's reagent (Scheme 3).⁸ Altera[tions in t](#page-0-0)he stoichiometry of this reagent allowed for selective enone reductions.⁵ Direct alkylation of cyclop[en](#page-3-0)tenone 11 with 1-iodooctane to give the precursor for analogue 22 with the saturated ω -[ch](#page-2-0)ain was met with failure under a variety of conditions. Therefore, for the preparation of precursor 39 (Scheme 4), a strategy was

chosen in which the endocyclic enone was temporarily masked.⁵ Epoxidation of the more reactive endocyclic enone afforded epoxide 37. Treatment with Stryker's reagent furnishe[d](#page-2-0) epoxide 38, which upon reductive epoxide opening and elimination of the β -hydroxy group regenerated the endocyclic enone to yield precursor 39.9

With the precursors in hand, adjustment of the oxidation state of the masked primary alcohol (S[ch](#page-3-0)eme 5) remained for completion of the synthesis of the targeted $15d$ -PGJ₂ analogue structures 22−28. Cleavage of the P[MB ethers](#page-2-0) and two-step oxidation of the resulting primary alcohols to the carboxylic acids provided 22−28.

Scheme 5. Preparation 15d-PGJ₂-Analogues 22–28

a Combined yields over three steps.

Analogues 22−28 were tested together with parent 15d-PGJ₂ (1) for their ability to reduce IL-6 and IL-12 secretion in bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs, Figure 3). All

Figure 3. Biological testing of 15d-PGJ₂ (1) and its analogues 22–28 for their ability to reduce the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IL-12. $R = C_3H_6CO_2H$.

analogues were less potent than the parent $15d-PGJ_2$ (1) with the exception of compound 26. Interestingly, dienone 26 shows higher potency than the parent compound, which indicates that the 1,6-dienone in the ω -side chain of 15d-PGJ₂ (1) has a less activating effect on the endocyclic enone than the corresponding 1,4-enone in analogue 26. In this series of analogues, it becomes clear that the endocyclic enone is crucial for bioactivity as the analogues 23, 24, 25, and 28, all lacking the endocyclic enone, show no effects in reducing IL-6 and IL-12 secretion. Therefore, when the endocyclic enone is absent,

the biological activity investigated in this context is completely lost. Analogues that contain the endocyclic enone but lack additional activation by conjugation to the ω -side chain (27) and 22) still maintain detectable bioactivity, although they show a marked decrease.

In summary, we have demonstrated the application of a synthetic route previously developed for the synthesis of epoxyisoprostanes EC (2) and EI $(3)^4$ to the preparation of structurally related prostaglandin $15d$ -PGJ₂ (1). Cyclopentenone 11 served as a key intermediate that enabled the preparation of seven analogue structures of 1 that vary in their disposition of electrophilic sites. With these analogues in hand we could identify the endocyclic enone as critical for the activity of 15d-PGJ₂ (1) as inhibitor for the secretion of proinflammatory IL-6 and IL-12. Finally, cross-conjugation in the ω side chain of the parent prostanoid enhances the electrophilic properties of the endocyclic enone, and this effect is enhanced for the structure encompassing a 1,4-enone in the ω -side chain in lieu of a 1,6-dienone, a feature which renders analogue 26 a more potent compound than parent $15d-PGJ₂(1)$.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b02181.

Experimental procedures and full spectroscopic data for all new compounds (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: carreira@org.chem.ethz.ch. *E-mail: manfred.kopf@ethz.ch

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the ETH Zurich for generous support through Grant ETH-18 09-1.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Scher, J. U.; Pillinger, M. H. Clin. Immunol. 2005, 114, 100. (b) Scher, J. U.; Pillinger, M. H. J. Investig. Med. 2009, 57, 703. (c) Shibata, T.; Kondo, M.; Osawa, T.; Shibata, N.; Kobayashi, M.; Uchida, K. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 10459. (d) Hardy, K. D.; Cox, B. E.; Milne, G. L.; Yin, H.; Roberts, L. J. J. Lipid Res. 2011, 52, 113.

(2) (a) Straus, D. S.; Glass, C. K. Med. Res. Rev. 2001, 21, 185. (b) Garzón, B.; Oeste, C. L.; Díez-Dacal, B.; Pérez-Sala, D. J. Proteomics 2011, 74, 2243. (c) Oeste, C. L.; Pérez-Sala, D. Mass Spectrom. Rev. 2014, 33, 110.

(3) (a) Bickley, J. F.; Jadhav, V.; Roberts, S. M.; Santoro, M. G.; Steiner, A.; Sutton, P. W. Synlett 2003, 2003, 1170. (b) Brummond, K. M.; Sill, P. C.; Chen, H. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 149. (c) Acharya, H. P.; Kobayashi, Y. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 3329. (d) Acharya, H. P.; Kobayashi, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 1199. (e) Kim, N.-J.; Moon, H.; Park, T.; Yun, H.; Jung, J.-W.; Chang, D.-J.; Kim, D.-D.; Suh, Y.-G. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7458.

(4) Egger, J.; Bretscher, P.; Freigang, S.; Kopf, M.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5382.

(5) (a) Egger, J.; Bretscher, P.; Freigang, S.; Kopf, M.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 17382. (b) Bretscher, P.; Egger, J.; Shamshiev, A.; Trotzmüller, M.; Köfeler, H.; Carreira, E. M.; Freigang, S.; Kopf, M. EMBO Mol. Med. 2015, 7, 593.

(6) Zhu, C.; Shen, X.; Nelson, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5352.

(7) (a) Kanai, T.; Irifune, S.; Ishii, Y.; Ogawa, M. Synthesis 1989, 1989, 283. (b) Toyota, M.; Sasaki, M.; Ihara, M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1193.

(8) Mahoney, W. S.; Brestensky, D. M.; Stryker, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 291.

(9) (a) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 3049. (b) Molander, G. A.; Hahn, G. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2596.